TN # 2927 MAR. 18 2013

COMMITTEE MEETING

BEFORE THE

ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the:)	
Amended Application for Certification for the Hydrogen Energy California Project)))	Docket No. 08-AFC-08A

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
THIRD FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM
1516 NINTH STREET
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

THURSDAY, JANUARY 10, 2013 10:00 a.m.

Reported by: Ramona Cota

Contract No. 170-09-002

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT

Karen Douglas, Presiding Member

Andrew McAllister, Associate Member

HEARING OFFICER, ADVISORS PRESENT

Raoul Renaud, Hearing Officer

Galen Lemei, Advisor to Commissioner Douglas

Jennifer Nelson, Advisor to Commissioner Douglas

Patrick Saxon, Advisor to Commissioner McAllister

Eileen Allen, Commissioners' Technical Adviser for Facility Siting

CEC STAFF PRESENT

Lisa DeCarlo, Staff Counsel

Robert Worl, Project Manager

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC ADVISER

Blake Roberts, Assistant Public Adviser

APPLICANT

Michael J. Carroll, Attorney (via WebEx) Latham & Watkins

Marisa Mascaro (via WebEx) Hydrogen Energy California

Dale Shileikis (via WebEx) URS Corporation

INTERVENORS

David Abell (via WebEx)
Kern-Kaweah Chapter of the Sierra Club

iii I N D E X <u>Page</u> 1. Call to Order 1 2. Party and Public Comment 3 3 7 Applicant Staff 3. Closed Session 8 4. Adjourn 9 Certificate of Reporter 10

<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>

10:02 a.m.

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Good morning, this is Raoul Renaud, the Hearing Officer for the Hydrogen Energy California Project amended application for certification proceeding. It's Thursday, January 10, 2013, 10:02 a.m., and this is the Committee Meeting which was noticed to begin, take place today.

Present in the room are Presiding Member

Commissioner Karen Douglas and representatives of staff, the

Commissioners' technical advisor for siting, Eileen Allen, a

representative from the public adviser's office, and I will

ask if there are any parties on the phone who would like to

introduce themselves, please.

MR. CARROLL: Yes, this is Mike Carroll with Latham & Watkins on behalf of the applicant.

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Thank you. Anyone else?

18 Go ahead.

MR. ABELL: This is David Abell on behalf of intervenors, Sierra Club.

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Good morning, thank you.

Anyone else?

MS. MASCARO: This is Marisa Mascaro with Hydrogen Energy California.

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Thank you. Any other

parties on the phone who could introduce themselves, please? 1 2 MR. SHILEIKIS: This is Dale Shileikis with URS, the applicant's environmental consultant. 3 4 HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: All right, thank you. 5 Now, is there anyone else before I proceed here? 6 (Advisor Nelson joined the meeting.) THE REPORTER: Mr. Renaud? 7 8 HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Yes. 9 THE REPORTER: Could the Sierra Club 10 representative spell his name? 11 HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Yes. Sierra Club 12 representative, David, would you spell your name for the 13 court reporter, please. 14 MR. ABELL: The last name is A as in apple, B as 15 in boy, E as in Eric, lady, lady. 16 THE REPORTER: Thank you. HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Thank you. All right. 17 18 As indicated in the notice, the primary purpose of 19 today's meeting is for the Committee to have an opportunity 20 to deliberate in closed session concerning matters that have 21 been placed before it. The agenda indicates that we would call the 22

23

24

25

We have also scheduled a public status conference to take place next week on the 16th and that will be an open session for all parties and members of the public to participate.

So let's proceed then to public comment, public or party comment. Is there any party, first of all, who wishes to make a comment at this time?

MR. CARROLL: This is Mike Carroll with Latham & Watkins on behalf of the applicant.

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Yes.

MR. CARROLL: And we will reserve the bulk of our comments for the status conference next week but just for the record and for the Commission's consideration during their meeting today let me point out a couple of things.

We've received the staff's Status Report No. 4, which indicates a couple of substantive areas where they have outstanding concerns as being cultural resources and water supply. I would just like to state that we have been working diligently with the cultural resources staff, which we believe consistent with their practice on past projects, has asked for information significantly beyond what's required under CEQA and necessary for their analysis. But we are in the process of trying to reach some consensus on a compromise and I'm hopeful that we will be able to do that. We had a call over that with staff prior to the holidays and

we are in the process of putting together a response based on that call to the staff.

With respect to the water resources issue, and I've conveyed this to Mr. Worl and Ms. DeCarlo just prior to the holidays. We are frankly quite surprised at the fact that this issue is coming up at this point. The water supply for this project has not changed from the initial application that was submitted in May of 2009. There was extensive discussion and modeling work that was done with the water staff prior to submission of the revised application and frankly we had come to believe that issues or most of the issues related to water supply had been put to bed.

Of the 400-some-odd data requests that applicant has received since the amended application was submitted, not a single one of them related to water supply. And so we are shocked, frankly, that there appear to be some, at least on their face, major concerns related to the project's water supply. So again, we can get into that in more detail at the conference next week but we did want to raise that.

And then finally with respect to the schedule. I don't think we are that far apart from the staff, about a month, but we think it's an important month. And by that I mean that if you look at the schedule attached to our status report, our milestones are about a month earlier than the

respective milestones in the staff's recommended schedule.

(Commissioner McAllister, Advisor Lemei

and Advisor Saxton joined the meeting.)

MR. CARROLL: So it's not a lot of additional time but it is an important month because the staff's proposed schedule starts to impede upon the financing process that is already underway for the project.

And again, I'd be more than happy to get into the details of that but I just wanted to hit on those three points, which I suspect would be the three major points of discussion next week, subject to any other topics that the Committee wants to cover.

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Thank you.

MR. CARROLL: Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Mr. Carroll, let me just take the opportunity to ask you a question then. From your most recent status report that I have, which is December 19, applicant is indicating that the PSA should be published by January 25th and in the staff's new status report they are talking April. But you just said you're about a month apart so can you clarify that for me?

MR. CARROLL: Sure, I will. It's in our -- so you're referring to our Status Report No. 3 that was filed on December 19th.

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Yes.

MR. CARROLL: And at that point it was our view that the staff should have adhered to its most recently proposed schedule at that point, which had the PSA coming out in January. You know, we're realists. We realize that given that we are at January 9th today, that publication of the PSA according to that schedule is not feasible and so we acknowledge that the staff is requesting additional time.

And so if you look at our Status Report No. 4 that was filed yesterday what you'll see is that we are proposing that the PSA be issued no later than March 1. That's somewhat more aggressive than what the staff is proposing, but we think imminently achievable, given that we are only, you know, not quite in the middle of January yet. And our understanding is that the drafting of the PSA is well underway. We believe that the staff has everything they need to complete that process. And so we think while sitting here in the middle of January it would not be practical for us to insist on a PSA submitted by the end of January, we certainly think that it's reasonable for us to insist upon a PSA by March 1.

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: All right, thank you. I think the confusion I had was that I haven't received your status report. But I imagine it's tied up in dockets and we'll receive it.

MR. CARROLL: Apologies. And we will -- we'll

forward that to you by email as soon as we drop off here so that you've got the benefit of that. It was filed yesterday by the deadline.

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Okay. Could you just for my information tell me about what time it was filed?

MR. CARROLL: Three o'clock.

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: All right. Okay, thank you. Any other party wish to make a comment?

MS. DeCARLO: Lisa DeCarlo, Energy Commission staff counsel. I think that the bulk of our comments, obviously, will be next week where it's more appropriate to dive into these issues and we do look forward to really getting into the issues.

Obviously the one main scheduling concern that we have is issuance of the PDOC, which isn't likely to be received by the Energy Commission until the end of February or early March so that's really one of the most important drivers for our schedule. So we'll get into that into more detail but we look forward to discussing those next week.

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Thank you. All right, any other party wish to make a comment?

(No response).

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: All right. Are there any members of the public out there who wish to make a comment?

If you do just go ahead and speak.

(No response).

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: All right, apparently not. So we will close out the public comment portion of the agenda and adjourn to closed session. I will return when we are done, which I anticipate will be between one and two hours from now, and report that the meeting is now adjourned. Thank you for your participation and we will see you or hear from you next week on the 16th.

MR. CARROLL: Mr. Renaud, this is Mike Carroll. I just forwarded you by an email the status report that was docketed yesterday so if you wanted to pull that up for your closed session you should have it momentarily.

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Yes, my phone just buzzed and there it is, thank you. All right.

MR. CARROLL: You're welcome.

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Thank you.

MR. WORL: One other quick question.

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Mr. Worl, go ahead.

MR. WORL: Just a procedural question.

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Sure.

MR. WORL: When you get ready to close out your closed session and then close the Committee Meeting would you like to have staff here in case you have additional questions?

HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: No, there won't be

anything of that nature. MR. WORL: Okay, fine. HEARING OFFICER RENAUD: Anything that's come up we will address at the status conference next week. All right? Thank you all. MR. CARROLL: Thank you. (The Committee adjourned into closed session at 10:12 a.m.) (The Committee reconvened from closed session at 11:53 a.m., had nothing to report and immediately adjourned.) --000--

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, RAMONA COTA, a Certified Electronic Reporter and Transcriber, do hereby certify that I am a disinterested person herein; that I recorded the foregoing California Energy Commission Committee Meeting; that I thereafter transcribed it.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting, or in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 11th day of January, 2013.

RAMONA	COTA,	CERT**478
--------	-------	-----------